

Computer Vision (600.461/600.661)

Homework 1: Mathematical Background

Instructor: René Vidal

Due 09/11/2014, 11.59PM Eastern

1. **Properties of Symmetric Matrices [20pts].** Let $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a real symmetric matrix. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $(\lambda_i, \mathbf{v}_i)$ be an eigenvalue-eigenvector pair. Show that:

(a) All the eigenvalues of S are real, i.e., $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ [4pts].

ANSWER: Let (λ, \mathbf{v}) be an eigenvalue-eigenvector pair of S . Then $S\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{v}}^\top S\mathbf{v} = \lambda\|\mathbf{v}\|^2$, where $\bar{\mathbf{v}}^\top$ is the conjugate transpose of \mathbf{v} and $\bar{\lambda}$ is the conjugate of λ . Since $S^\top = S$, we have $\bar{\mathbf{v}}^\top S = \bar{\lambda}\bar{\mathbf{v}}^\top$ and so $\bar{\mathbf{v}}^\top S\mathbf{v} = \bar{\lambda}\|\mathbf{v}\|^2$. Therefore, $(\lambda - \bar{\lambda})\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = 0$ with $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$, and so $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda$, which implies $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

(b) Eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal, i.e., if $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, then $\mathbf{v}_i \perp \mathbf{v}_j$ [4pts].

ANSWER: Let $(\lambda_i, \mathbf{v}_i)$ and $(\lambda_j, \mathbf{v}_j)$ be two eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs of S . Then, $S\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{v}_i$, $\mathbf{v}_i^\top S = \lambda_i\mathbf{v}_i^\top$ and $S\mathbf{v}_j = \lambda_j\mathbf{v}_j$. Therefore, $\mathbf{v}_i^\top S\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{v}_i^\top (S\mathbf{v}_j) = \mathbf{v}_i^\top (\lambda_j\mathbf{v}_j) = \lambda_j(\mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j) = \lambda_i(\mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j)$. We then have $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j) = 0$. If $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, this equality can hold only if $\mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j = 0$, i.e., $\mathbf{v}_i \perp \mathbf{v}_j$.

(c) There always exist n orthonormal eigenvectors of S , which form a basis of \mathbb{R}^n [4pts].

ANSWER: Let $(\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}_1)$ be any eigenvector-eigenvalue pair of S and let \mathbf{v}_1^\perp be its orthogonal complement. Since S is symmetric, \mathbf{v}_1^\perp is an S -invariant subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , i.e., for all $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{v}_1^\perp$, we have $S\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{v}_1^\perp$ because $\mathbf{v}_1^\top S\mathbf{w} = \lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1^\top \mathbf{w} = 0$. Thus, there exists an eigenvector of S in \mathbf{v}_1^\perp . Let \mathbf{v}_2 be such an eigenvector and let λ_2 be its corresponding eigenvalue (which need not be different from λ_1). By the same argument, $\mathbf{v}_1^\perp \cap \mathbf{v}_2^\perp$ is an S -invariant subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , hence there exists an eigenvector of S in $\mathbf{v}_1^\perp \cap \mathbf{v}_2^\perp$. Finite induction finishes the proof.

(d) S is positive definite (positive semidefinite) if and only if all of its eigenvalues are positive (non-negative), i.e., $S \succ 0$ ($S \succeq 0$), iff $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \lambda_i > 0$ ($\lambda_i \geq 0$) [4pts].

ANSWER: By the previous part, we can choose n eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs $\{(\lambda_i, \mathbf{v}_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, such that $\mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $\|\mathbf{v}_i\| = 1$. Since these eigenvectors form a basis for \mathbb{R}^n , any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be written as $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i \mathbf{v}_i)$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \alpha_i^2$. Note that if all $\lambda_i > 0$, then $\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} > 0, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Conversely, if $\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} > 0$, then it must be the case that $\lambda_i > 0$. Otherwise, if e.g., $\lambda_1 \leq 0$, then $\mathbf{v}_1^\top S\mathbf{v}_1 = \lambda_1 \leq 0$, which gives a contradiction. Now, similarly if all $\lambda_i \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} \geq 0, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Conversely, if $\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ for all \mathbf{x} , then it must be the case that $\lambda_i \geq 0$, otherwise if $\lambda_1 < 0$, then $\mathbf{v}_1^\top S\mathbf{v}_1 = \lambda_1 < 0$, which would give us a contradiction.

(e) If $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ are the sorted eigenvalues of S , then $\max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} = \lambda_1$ and $\min_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} = \lambda_n$ [4pts].

ANSWER: By part (c), we can choose n eigenvectors forming a basis for \mathbb{R}^n . Then, any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be written as $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{v}_i$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n$. We thus have $\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2$ and $\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \alpha_i^2$. Since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have $\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} \leq \lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}$, with equality occurring when $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$. Therefore, $\max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} (\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_1$. We can

similarly prove that $\min_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} (\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_n$, by considering that $\lambda_n \leq \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

ANSWER: Using the method of Lagrange multipliers, we build the Lagrangian function $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} + \lambda(1 - \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x})$. Setting the derivative of \mathcal{L} to zero we obtain $2S\mathbf{x} - 2\lambda\mathbf{x} = 0$, which implies that $S\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$. Therefore, the solution (λ, \mathbf{x}) should be an eigenvalue-eigenvector pair of S . Moreover, the optimal value is $\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} = \lambda$. Therefore, if our goal is to maximize $\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x}$, then λ should be the largest eigenvalue. Conversely, if we wish to minimize $\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x}$, λ must be the smallest eigenvalue. Finally, to verify that these are indeed maximum and minimum, we notice that the Hessian of \mathcal{L} is given by $H = 2(S - \lambda I)$, so that $\mathbf{x}^\top H\mathbf{x} = 2(\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} - \lambda\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x})$. When $\lambda = \lambda_1$, we have that $S - \lambda I \preceq 0$, because $\mathbf{x}^\top H\mathbf{x} = 2(\mathbf{x}^\top S\mathbf{x} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}) = 2(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \alpha_i^2 - \lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2) = \sum_{i=2}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda_1) \alpha_i^2 \leq 0$. When $\lambda = \lambda_n$, we have that $S - \lambda I \succeq 0$, because $\mathbf{x}^\top H\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_n) \alpha_i^2 \geq 0$.

2. **Properties of the SVD [20pts].** Let $A = U\Sigma V^\top$ be the SVD of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of rank r . Show that:

- (a) $Av_j = \sigma_j u_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ and $A^\top u_j = \sigma_j v_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. [4pts]

ANSWER: Multiplying both sides of $A = U\Sigma V^\top$ by V results in $AV = U\Sigma V^\top V$. Using the orthonormality property of V , i.e. $V^\top V = I$, this reduces to $AV = U\Sigma$. Now in terms of the j -th column of V and U , we have $Av_j = \sigma_j u_j$. Likewise, $A^\top = (U\Sigma V^\top)^\top = V\Sigma^\top U^\top$. After multiplying both sides by U and using the orthonormality property of U , we obtain $A^\top U = V\Sigma^\top$, which results in $A^\top u_j = \sigma_j v_j$.

- (b) The range or image of A is spanned by the left singular vectors of A associated with its nonzero singular values, i.e., $\text{range}(A) = \text{span}\{u_i\}_{i=1}^r$. [4pts]

ANSWER: The range of A is the set of vectors of the form $y = Ax$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We can express this set in terms of the SVD of A as $\text{range}(A) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : y = U\Sigma V^\top x, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. Let $z = \Sigma V^\top x$. We notice that all entries of z beyond the r -th are zero because $\sigma_i = 0$ for $i > r$. This means that

$$y = [u_1 \quad \dots \quad u_r] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{bmatrix} = [u_1 \quad \dots \quad u_r] w, \quad (1)$$

and so the range of A is the span of the first r columns of U , i.e., $\text{range}(A) = \text{span}\{u_i\}_{i=1}^r$.

- (c) The kernel or null space of A is spanned by the right singular vectors of A associated with its zero singular values, i.e., $\ker(A) = \text{span}\{v_i\}_{i=r+1}^n$. [4pts]

ANSWER: The kernel of A is defined as $\ker(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax = 0\}$. Now, since $Ax = U\Sigma V^\top x$, we notice that $Ax = 0$ if and only if $\Sigma V^\top x = 0$, and do $\ker(A) = \{x : \sigma_i v_i^\top x = 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Since $\sigma_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $\sigma_i = 0$ otherwise, we further have $\ker(A) = \{x : v_i^\top x = 0, i = 1, \dots, r\}$. Therefore, $\ker(A)$ is the orthogonal complement to the span of $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^r$. Since the vectors $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ form an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n , we have that $\ker(A)$ is the span of $\{v_i\}_{i=r+1}^n$, as claimed.

- (d) The squared Frobenius norm of A is equal to the sum of the squared singular values of A , i.e., $\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{ij} a_{ij}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^r \sigma_k^2$. [4pts]

ANSWER: The sum of all the squared elements of a matrix is the trace of AA^\top . Moreover, $\text{trace}(AA^\top)$ is equivalent to the sum of all the eigenvalues of AA^\top , which are the squared singular values of A . Thus: $\sum_{ij} a_{ij}^2 = \text{trace}(AA^\top) = \sum_k \lambda_k \{AA^\top\} = \sum_{k=1}^r \sigma_k^2$.

- (e) The right singular vector of A associated to its smallest singular value, v_n , is a solution to the optimization problem $\min_x \|Ax\|_2^2$ such that $\|x\|_2 = 1$. [4pts]

ANSWER: Since $\|Ax\|_2^2 = x^\top A^\top A x$, we can rewrite the optimization problem as $\min_x x^\top M x$ s.t. $\|x\|_2 = 1$, where $M = A^\top A$. This is a constrained optimization problem whose Lagrangian is given by $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = x^\top M x + \lambda(1 - x^\top x)$. The first order condition for optimality is $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = 2Mx - 2\lambda x = 0$. Thus, $Mx = \lambda x$, and so λ is an eigenvalue of M . Multiplying both sides by x^\top gives $x^\top M x = \lambda x^\top x = \lambda$. Since we are trying to minimize $x^\top M x$, λ should be the smallest eigenvalue of $A^\top A$ and x should be the corresponding eigenvector. In other words, x should be the right singular vector of A associated to its smallest singular value. Finally, the second order condition for a minimum is $\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^2} = 2(M - \lambda I) \succeq 0$. This is the case when $\lambda = \sigma_n^2$ because the eigenvalues of $M - \lambda I$ are $\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_n^2 \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

3. Pseudo-Inverse of a Matrix. [10pts]

- (a) Let $A = U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top$ be the compact SVD of a matrix A of rank r . Show that the pseudo-inverse of A is given by $A^\dagger = V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top$. [2pts]

ANSWER: By the definition of the compact SVD, we have $V_r^\top V_r = U_r^\top U_r = I$, and Σ_r and Σ_r^{-1} are diagonal matrices. We then only need to verify all four criteria of the pseudo-inverse matrix as follows:

- i. $AA^\dagger A = U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top = U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top = A$,
- ii. $A^\dagger AA^\dagger = V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top = V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top = A^\dagger$,
- iii. $(AA^\dagger)^\top = (U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top)^\top = (U_r U_r^\top)^\top = U_r U_r^\top = AA^\dagger$,
- iv. $(A^\dagger A)^\top = (V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top)^\top = (V_r V_r^\top)^\top = V_r V_r^\top = A^\dagger A$.

- (b) Consider the linear system of equations $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, where the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is of rank $r = \text{rank}(A) = \min\{m, n\}$. Show that $\mathbf{x}^* = A^\dagger \mathbf{b}$ minimizes $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$, where A^\dagger is the pseudo-inverse of A . When is \mathbf{x}^* the unique solution? [4pts]

ANSWER: We want to find the \mathbf{x}^* that minimizes $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 = (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^\top (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})$. The first order condition for optimality is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial [(A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})^\top (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})]}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = 0 &\implies A^\top A\mathbf{x} = A^\top \mathbf{b} \implies (V_r \Sigma_r U_r^\top)(U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top) \mathbf{x} = (V_r \Sigma_r U_r^\top) \mathbf{b} \\ &\implies (V_r \Sigma_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top) \mathbf{x} = (V_r \Sigma_r U_r^\top) \mathbf{b} \quad (\text{since } U_r^\top U_r = I_{r \times r}) \\ &\implies \Sigma_r V_r^\top \mathbf{x} = U_r^\top \mathbf{b} \quad (\text{pre-multiplying by } \Sigma_r^{-1} V_r^\top \text{ and using } V_r^\top V_r = I_{r \times r}) \\ &\implies V_r^\top \mathbf{x} = \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \mathbf{b} \quad (\text{pre-multiplying by } \Sigma_r^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbf{x}^* = (V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top) \mathbf{b} = A^\dagger \mathbf{b}$ is a solution of the above. When A is not full column rank, i.e., $m < n$, \mathbf{x}^* is not the only solution of the minimization problem. In fact any vector $\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{y}$, where $\mathbf{y} \in \text{null}(A)$ would be a solution to the problem. When A is column full rank, i.e., $m \geq n$, \mathbf{x}^* is unique.

- (c) If $\mathbf{b} \in \text{range}(A)$, $\mathbf{x}^* = A^\dagger \mathbf{b}$ is the solution to the optimization problem $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ such that $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$. [4pts]

ANSWER: The Lagrangian is given by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} + \lambda(\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x})$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a vector of Lagrange multipliers. The first order condition is given by $2\mathbf{x} - A^\top \lambda = 0$, which yields $\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} A^\top \lambda$. Substituting this back into $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ we obtain $\frac{1}{2} A A^\top \lambda = \mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{2} U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top V_r \Sigma_r U_r^\top \lambda \implies \frac{1}{2} U_r \Sigma_r^2 U_r^\top \lambda = \mathbf{b} \implies U_r^\top \lambda = 2 \Sigma_r^{-2} U_r^\top \mathbf{b}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} A^\top \lambda = \frac{1}{2} V_r \Sigma_r U_r^\top \lambda = V_r \Sigma_r \Sigma_r^{-2} U_r^\top \mathbf{b} = A^\dagger \mathbf{b}$. Finally, the Hessian of \mathcal{L} is $H = 2I \succ 0$, hence $\mathbf{x} = A^\dagger \mathbf{b}$ is a minimum.